

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	Case No. 1:13-cr-00034
	)	
v.	)	Honorable Janet T. Neff
	)	
ALFREDO AGUSTIN-AGUSTIN,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
<hr style="width:40%; margin-left:0;"/>	)	

**REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**

Pursuant to W.D. MICH. L.CR.R. 11.1, I conducted a plea hearing in the captioned case on March 7, 2013, after receiving the written consent of defendant and all counsel. There is no written plea agreement. At the hearing, defendant Alfredo Agustin-Agustin entered a plea of guilty to the Indictment charging defendant with being present in the United States without permission of the Attorney General after having been convicted of a felony and removed, in violation of 8 U.S.C. §§ 1326(a) and (b)(1). On the basis of the record made at the hearing, I find that defendant is fully capable and competent to enter an informed plea; that the plea is made knowingly and with full understanding of each of the rights waived by defendant; that it is made voluntarily and free from any force, threats, or promises; that the defendant understands the nature of the charge and penalties provided by law; and that the plea has a sufficient basis in fact.

I therefore recommend that defendant's plea of guilty to the Indictment be accepted, and that the court adjudicate defendant guilty. Acceptance of the plea, adjudication of guilt, and imposition of sentence are specifically reserved for the district judge.

Date: March 11, 2013

/s/ Ellen S. Carmody  
ELLEN S. CARMODY  
United States Magistrate Judge

### **NOTICE TO PARTIES**

You have the right to de novo review of the foregoing findings by the district judge. Any application for review must be in writing, must specify the portions of the findings or proceedings objected to, and must be filed and served no later than 14 days after the plea hearing. *See* W.D. MICH. L.CR.R. 11.1(d).